TAU

Craig Holloway



Glenwood Springs, CO 81601

App.# 10/643,373

10 Feb 07

To: Alesia Brown, Petitions, USPTO

The 12 Jan 07 reply to my petition to reverse the abandon-ment order on 10/643,373 was granted but ordered again abandoned as it was thought the above application was being revived "solely for the purpose of continuity." This was not the case. 11/414,1940 was not meant to be a continuation application but—a divisional, and indeed was a response to the office action on which 10/643,373 was first ruled abandoned due to non response. The office action had stated that examiner saw seven patentable areas. I responded requesting a divisional and explained how I intended to

cower the seven areas into two areas; 1. Synchronous and Synergetic and 2. Nonsynchronous yet Synergetic.

I request the petions office to again reverse the abandon-ment order on 10/643,373 and consider 11/414,1940 a division-al on 10/643,373 and that this was a response to the office action for which 10/643,373 was first ruled abandoned. If 11/4141940 and related papers mailed 23 April 06 are not considered an answer to office action than I choose "rolling detonation", see attached.

Thankyou,

Craig Holloway

Craig Holloway

115 Fifth St.

10 Feb 0)

Glenwood Springs, CO 81601

App # 10/643,373

To; Eldred, Art Unit 3641 USPTO

I choose rolling detonation.

Thankyou,

Craig Holloway